Lecture 7: Givens Orthogonalization Methods, Weighted Least Squares and Computation of Pseudo Inverse

Prof. Krishna R. Pattipati

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Connecticut

Contact: krishna@engr.uconn.edu (860) 486-2890

Fall 2008

October 8, 2008

ECE 6435 Adv Numerical Methods in Sci Comp

Copyright © 2008 by K. Pattipati



Outline of Lecture 7

- Givens Transformations
- Weighted Least Squares Problem and its Solutions via Householder Transformation
- Computation of Pseudo (Generalized) Inverse

How do Given's Rotations Work? - 1

Selective zeroing of elements and selective revision of R







Why is Givens Transformation a Rotation?

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{Rotation of X-Y axis through an angle } \theta$$

$$J(1,2,\theta) \text{ matrix}$$
Also, $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$

$$J(1,2,-\theta) \text{ matrix}$$
So, we have the important result that
$$J^{-1}(1,2,\theta) = J^T(1,2,\theta) = J(1,2,-\theta)$$
• In general, $J(i,k,\theta)$ rotates *i*-*k* coordinates by an angle θ in a counter-clockwise direction.
$$J(i,k,\theta) \ge y$$

$$\Rightarrow y_i = cx_i + sx_k, \ y_k = -sx_i + cx_k, \ y_j = x_j \forall j \neq i,k$$

Householder versus Givens

• Also, note in 2 by 2 case, if $\underline{v} = [v_1, v_2]^T$ where, $v_1 = -\sin\theta$, $v_2 = \cos\theta$

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} I - 2\underline{v}\underline{v}^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2v_1^2 & -2v_1v_2 \\ -2v_1v_2 & 1 - 2v_2^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

- For a 2 by 2 case $Q = \begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ s & -c \end{bmatrix} \text{ is a Householder (or reflection)}$ $Q = \begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \text{ is a rotation}$
- Coming back to the general case, we can force $y_k \uparrow$ to 0 by letting

$$c = \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{x_i^2 + x_k^2}}$$
 $s = \frac{x_k}{\sqrt{x_i^2 + x_k^2}}$

- \Rightarrow Any specified element can be <u>zeroed out</u> by appropriate choice of *c* and *s*
- ⇒ Since the effect is local, the procedure is well-suited for parallel processing

Implementation Issues

$$|x_k| \ge |x_i|$$
, write $t = x_i / x_k$; $s = (1 + t^2)^{-1/2}$, $c = st$
 $|x_i| \ge |x_k|$, write $t = x_k / x_i$; $c = (1 + t^2)^{-1/2}$, $s = ct$

Implementation

if $x_k = 0$ c = 1 s = 0else if $|x_k| \ge |x_i|$ $t = x_i / x_k; s = (1 + t^2)^{-1/2}, c = st$ else $t = x_i / x_k; s = (1 + t^2)^{-1/2}, c = st$

$$t = x_k / x_i; c = (1 + t^2)^{-1/2}, s = ct$$

end if

9 Copyright [©] 2008 by K. Pattipati



10

Givens Orthogonalization Procedure

Algorithm: Givens

For k=2,...,m DO For $i=1,2,...,\min(k-1,n)$ DO Find c and $s \ni$ $\begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{ii} \\ a_{ki} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $A \leftarrow J(i,k,\theta)A$

End DO

End DO

- Number of operations: $2n^2\left(m-\frac{n}{3}\right)$
- If you want to solve LS problem, insert $\underline{b} \leftarrow J(i,k,\theta)\underline{b}$ and solve $R\underline{x} = \underline{b}$

Iterative Improvement of LS Solution Assume Full Column Rank. Suppose we have an initial solution \underline{x}_{1s}^0 Can we improve it ? YES !! Iteration *k*=0 $+ \rightarrow$ Compute residual $\underline{r}^{(k)} = \underline{b} - A \underline{x}_{LS}^{(k)}$ in double precision Solve LS problem $\min_{z^{(k)}} \left\| A \underline{z}^{(k)} - \underline{r}^{(k)} \right\|_2^2$ \Rightarrow solve $R_1 \underline{z}^{(k)} = r_C$ where r_c is given by $Q^{T}\underline{r}^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{C} \\ r_{d} \end{bmatrix}_{m}^{n}$ $x_{IS}^{(k+1)} = x_{IS}^{(k)} + \underline{z}^{(k)}$ If \underline{x}_{LS} has converged, stop. else k = k + 1 $+ \rightarrow$ endif Computational load: $O(mn + n^2/2)$

12 Copyright © 2008 by K. Pattipati



Better way: What are the necessary conditions of optimality ? $(A^{T}V^{-1}A)\underline{x} = A^{T}V^{-1}\underline{b} \qquad V = SS^{T} \Rightarrow V^{-1} = (S^{-1})^{T}S^{-1}$ $\underline{x}_{LS} = (A^{T}V^{-1}A)^{-1}A^{T}V^{-1}\underline{b} \text{ when } A \text{ is full rank}$ $= \left[(S^{-1}A)^{T}S^{-1}A \right]^{-1} (S^{-1}A)^{T} (S^{-1}\underline{b})$

To derive an efficient method, let us look at an alternate problem:

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \underline{v}^{T} \underline{v}$$

s.t. $\underline{b} = A\underline{x} + S\underline{v}$

(2)

Necessary Conditions of Optimality

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \underline{v}^{T} \underline{v} + \lambda^{T} \left[A \underline{x} + S \underline{v} - \underline{b} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial L / \partial \underline{v} = \underline{0} \Rightarrow v + S^{T} \underline{\lambda} = \underline{0}$$

$$\partial L / \partial \underline{x} = \underline{0} \Rightarrow A^{T} \underline{\lambda} = \underline{0}$$

$$\partial L / \partial \underline{\lambda} = \underline{0} \Rightarrow \underline{b} = A \underline{x}_{LS} + S \underline{v}$$

or, $\underline{b} = A \underline{x}_{LS} - SS^{T} \underline{\lambda} \Rightarrow \underline{\lambda} = V^{-1} \left(A \underline{x}_{LS} - \underline{b} \right)$

and $\underline{v} = S^{-1}(\underline{b} - A\underline{x}_{LS})$ weighted residual or "whitened residual" using $A^T \underline{\lambda} = \underline{0}$, we have

$$\underline{x}_{\rm LS} = \left(A^T V^{-1} A\right)^{-1} A^T V^{-1} \underline{b}$$

- \Rightarrow Problems 1 and 2 are equivalent
- \Rightarrow Valid for rank deficient case as well



$$\underline{b} = A\underline{x} + S\underline{v}$$

= $A\underline{x}_{LS} + \underline{r}$
$$A\underline{x}_{LS} = A(A^{T}V^{-1}A)^{-1}A^{T}V^{-1}\underline{b} = P\underline{b}$$

$$\underline{r} = \underline{b} - A\underline{x}_{LS} = (I - P)\underline{b}$$

$$P = \text{Projection matrix } (P^{2} = P)$$

Copyright © 2008 by K. Pattipati 16

Solution via Householder

• Do Householder on A $\Rightarrow Q^{T}A = \frac{n}{m-n} \begin{bmatrix} R_{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} ; Q = m[Q_{1} \quad Q_{2}] ; R_{1} \text{ upper } \Delta$ $n \qquad n \qquad n \qquad n - n$

• Form $Q_2^T S$ and find orthogonal transformation P such that $\Rightarrow Q_2^T SP = m - n \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U \end{bmatrix}; P = m \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 \end{bmatrix}; U \text{ upper } \Delta = Q_2^T SP_2$ $n m - n \qquad n m - n$ What does $A\underline{x} + S\underline{v} = \underline{b} \text{ mean } ?$ $\begin{bmatrix} Q_1^T \underline{b} \\ Q_2^T \underline{b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \underline{x} + \begin{bmatrix} Q_1^T SP_1 & Q_1^T SP_2 \\ 0 & U \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1^T \underline{v} \\ P_2^T \underline{v} \end{bmatrix}$

so solve:

$$U_{\underline{z}} = Q_2^T \underline{b} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{v} = P_2 \underline{z}$$
$$R_1 \underline{x} = Q_1^T \underline{b} - \left(Q_1^T S P_1 P_1^T \underline{v} + Q_1^T S P_2 P_2^T \underline{v}\right)$$
$$= Q_1^T \underline{b} - \left(Q_1^T S \underline{v}\right) = Q_1^T \left[\underline{b} - S P_2 \underline{z}\right]$$

17 Copyright [©] 2008 by K. Pattipati

A[†]: Computation of Pseudo Inverse

Solution of $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ for any $m \ge n$ matrix of any rank r

• When m > n and rank(A) = n

$$\underline{x}_{\rm LS} = \left(A^T A\right)^{-1} A^T \underline{b} = A^{\dagger} \underline{b}$$

- We can always consider m > n. Otherwise, use A^T and note $(A^T)^{\dagger} = (A^{\dagger})^T$
- More generally, $\underline{x}_{LS} = A^{\dagger} \underline{b}$; $A^{\dagger} \sim$ Moore-Penrose Inverse, an *n* x *m* matrix. Variously referred to as pseudo-inverse or generalized inverse
- A[†] satisfies the following four conditions, termed the Moore-Penrose conditions:

i. $A A^{\dagger} A = A$ *ii.* $A^{\dagger} A A^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}$ *iii.* $(A^{\dagger} A) = (A^{\dagger} A)^T \Rightarrow$ projection on to $R(A^T)$ *iv.* $(AA^{\dagger}) = (AA^{\dagger})^T \Rightarrow$ projection on to R(A)

Moore-Penrose Conditions

- Note that ordinary inverse satisfies Moore-Penrose conditions
- (A^TA)⁻¹A^T satisfies conditions
- A full column rank $\Rightarrow A = QR$ and $A^{\dagger} = R^{-1}Q^{T}$
- We can show that all four conditions are satisfied by $A^{\dagger} = R^{-1}Q^{T}$

$$-QRR^{-1}Q^{T}QR = QR = A$$

$$-R^{-1}Q^{T}QR R^{-1}Q^{T} = R^{-1}Q^{T} = A^{\dagger}$$

$$- QR R^{-1} Q^{T} = I_m = I_m^{T}$$

$$-R^{-1}Q^{T}QR = I_{n} = I_{n}^{T}$$

□ Gram-Schmidt procedure to compute A[†]

• Suppose *A* has rank *r*. Further suppose that *A* is partitioned as follows: $A = [R \ T] = [R \ RS]$ where $S = t \ge (n - r)$ matrix

R has r independent columns, T has (n-r) dependent columns

$$\Rightarrow \underline{t}_i = R\underline{s}_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n - r$$

 $R^{\dagger} = (R^T R)^{-1} R^T$ an $r \ge n$ generalized inverse matrix

Also, $R^{\dagger}R = I_r$ an $r \ge r$ identity matrix

Fact: Generalized inverse of a general *A* is similar to the generalized inverse of [R | RS], since [R | RS] can be obtained by the permutation of the columns of *A*. That is,

Gram-Schmidt Procedure for Computing A[†]

 $\begin{array}{l} A \ P_1 \ P_2 \ \dots \ P_r = A \ P = \left[\ R \ / \ RS \ \right] \\ (AP)^{\dagger} = P^{-1} \ A^{\dagger} = P^T A^{\dagger} = P_r \ P_{r-1} \ \dots \ P_1 \left[\ R \ / \ RS \ \right]^{\dagger} \end{array}$

 $\Rightarrow A^{\dagger} = P_1 P_2 \dots P_r [R | RS]^{\dagger} \text{ i.e., do a row permutation on the pseudo-inverse of } [R | RS]^{\dagger} \text{ in reverse order to obtain the pseudo-inverse of } A.$

How to compute [R | RS] [†]

claim:
$$(AP)^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} R \mid RS \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} = \frac{r}{n-r} \begin{bmatrix} (I_r + SS^T)^{-1} & R^{\dagger} \\ S^T (I_r + SS^T)^{-1} & R^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$

Why is this true? Look at LS Problem

- When rank(A) = $r < n \Rightarrow$ underdetermined system
- Less number of independent equations than unknowns.
- \Rightarrow Infinite number of solutions satisfying $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$

- $\Rightarrow J = (A\underline{x} \underline{b})^T (A\underline{x} \underline{b}) = 0 \text{ for infinite number of } \underline{x}$
- □ So, among these infinite number of {<u>x</u>}, let us pick one that has minimum norm $(\underline{x}^T \underline{x})^{1/2}$ minimum Euclidean length.

 $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

 $4x_1 + 4x_2 = 4$

□ That is, solve

$$\min_{\underline{x}} \quad J_1 = \underline{x}^T \underline{x}$$

s.t.
$$AP\underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

Optimality Conditions

This is a **convex programming problem** and has a unique minimizing solution

 $\underline{x}^{T} \underline{x} = \underline{x}_{1}^{T} \underline{x}_{1} + \underline{x}_{2}^{T} \underline{x}_{2}, \quad AP \underline{x} = \underline{b} \implies R \underline{x}_{1} + RS \underline{x}_{2} = \underline{b}$

where \underline{x}_1 and \underline{x}_2 are of dimensions *r* and (n-r), respectively.

• Define the Lagrangian function,

 $L = \underline{x}_1^T \underline{x}_1 + \underline{x}_2^T \underline{x}_2 + \underline{\lambda}^T \left[R \underline{x}_1 + RS \underline{x}_2 - \underline{b} \right]$

• From Karush-Kuhn-Tucker's necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality for convex problems

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{x}_{1}} = 0 \Longrightarrow 2\underline{x}_{1} + R^{T}\underline{\lambda} = 0 \Longrightarrow \underline{\lambda} = -2(R^{T})^{-1}\underline{x}_{1}$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{x}_{2}} = 0 \Longrightarrow 2\underline{x}_{2} + S^{T}R^{T}\underline{\lambda} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{\lambda}} = 0 \Longrightarrow R\underline{x}_{1} + RS\underline{x}_{2} = \underline{b}$$
$$\underline{x}_{2} = S^{T}\underline{x}_{1} \implies \underline{x}_{1} = (I_{r} + SS^{T})^{-1}R^{\dagger}\underline{b}$$
Thus, minimum norm satisfying $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ is given by:

$$\underline{x}_{LS} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(I_r + SS^T\right)^{-1} R^{\dagger} \\ S^T \left(I_r + SS^T\right)^{-1} R^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} \underline{b} = (AP)^{\dagger} \underline{b}$$

Pseudo Inverse Mechanization - 1

Suppose we have done the Gram-Schmidt procedure on AP

i.e.,
$$\begin{bmatrix} R & | & RS \end{bmatrix} = m \begin{bmatrix} r & n-r \\ Q & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} U$$

where $U = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ n-r \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & W \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix}$
Compute $U^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^{-1} & X \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow X = -U_1^{-1}W$
Since $(AP)U^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} R & RS \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_1^{-1} & X \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} RU_1^{-1} & RX + RS \end{bmatrix}$
 $\Rightarrow R = QU_1 \Rightarrow R^{\dagger} = (U_1)^{-1}Q^T$
 $\Rightarrow X = -S$

$$\Rightarrow \text{last } (n-r) \text{ columns of } U^{-1} \text{ are } \begin{bmatrix} -S \\ I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \text{ at the point we hit dependency}$$

Apply G-S to these last (n-r) columns

$$Z^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -S^{T} & | & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -Z^{T}S^{T} & | & Z^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$

23 Copyright [©] 2008 by K. Pattipati

Pseudo Inverse Mechanization - 2

Note that the transformation automatically gets stored

or
$$\begin{bmatrix} -S \\ I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} Z = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ n-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -SZ \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = Z^T \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-r} + S^TS \end{bmatrix} Z = I_{n-r}$$
 (Columns are orthogonal)
 $\Rightarrow I_{n-r} + S^TS = (Z^T)^{-1}Z^{-1} \Rightarrow (I_{n-r} + S^TS)^{-1} = ZZ^T$
Also, using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula
 $(I_r + SS^T)^{-1} = I_r - S(I_{n-r} + S^TS)^{-1}S^T = I_r - (SZ)(SZ)^T$
 $S^T(I_r + SS^T)^{-1} = S^T - S^TS ZZ^TS^T = [I_{n-r} - S^TS(I_{n-r} + S^TS)^{-1}]S^T$
 $= (S^TS + I_{n-r})^{-1}S^T = ZZ^TS^T = Z(SZ)^T$
Recall $(AP)^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} I_r - (SZ)(SZ)^T \end{bmatrix}^{-1}R^{\dagger}$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} r \\ n-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q^T \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q^T \\ (SZ)^TU_1^{-1}Q^T \end{bmatrix}$

 \square

Summary of Procedure

$$\begin{bmatrix} AP\\ I_n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} R & S\\ I_r & 0\\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{GS} \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0\\ U_1^{-1} & -S\\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{GS \begin{bmatrix} -S\\ I \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0\\ U_1^{-1} & -SZ\\ 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & 0\\ U_1^{-1} & -SZ\\ (SZ)^T U_1^{-1} & Z \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} Q & \left[(SZ)^T U_1^{-1} Q^T \right]^T\\ U_1^{-1} & -SZ\\ 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}$$
Finally,
$$\begin{bmatrix} U_1^{-1} & -SZ\\ 0 & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q^T\\ (SZ)^T U_1^{-1} Q^T \end{bmatrix} = (AP)^{\dagger}$$

Note that we can store A^{\dagger} in A,i.e., in the space occupied by

$$\left[Q \mid ((SZ)^T U_1^{-1} Q^T)^T \right]$$

Finally, we can permute rows to obtain A^{\dagger}

$$A^{\dagger} = P(AP)^{\dagger} = P_1 P_2 ... P_r (AP)^{\dagger}$$

 \Rightarrow swap row r with c_r ,....,row 1 with c_1





Example via Householder

$$Consider \begin{bmatrix} U_{1}^{T} \\ W^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\underline{u}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 + \sqrt{29} \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}; Z_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} I - \frac{2u_{1}u_{1}^{T}}{\underline{u}_{1}\underline{u}_{1}^{T}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7428 & -0.3714 & -0.5571 \\ -0.3714 & 0.9209 & -0.1187 \\ -0.5571 & -0.1187 & 0.8219 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Z_{1} \begin{bmatrix} U_{1}^{T} \\ W^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5.3852 & -3.1568 \\ 0 & 0.3273 \\ 0 & 3.9909 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Z_{2}Z_{1} \begin{bmatrix} U_{1}^{T} \\ W^{T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5.3852 & -3.1568 \\ 0 & -4.0043 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_{1}^{T} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\underline{w} = \tilde{U}_{1}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.4856 \\ 0.6717 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\underline{x}_{1S} = Z_{1}Z_{2} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{w} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.4968 \\ 0.5806 \\ 0.2839 \end{bmatrix}$$



- Best method yet to come.....Lecture 12
- Reduce A to upper Δ form via Householder

$$Q_R^T A = \begin{bmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Reduce R to bi-diagonal form via Householder

$$Q_B^T R S_B = B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & f_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & f_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & d_n \end{bmatrix}$$

• Zero the super-diagonal elements via a symmetric **QR algorithm** for Eigen values (Lecture 11) $Q_{\Sigma}^{T}BS_{\Sigma} = \Sigma = diag(\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}...\sigma_{n}), \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $A^{\dagger} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\nabla_{i} \underline{u}_{i}^{T}}{\sigma_{i}}$

$$Q_{\Sigma}^{T}(Q_{B}^{T}I_{m-n})Q_{R}^{T}AS_{B}S_{\Sigma} = \Sigma ; U = Q_{R}(Q_{B}I_{m-n})Q_{\Sigma} ; V = S_{B}S_{\Sigma}$$

Iterative Improvement of Inverse

Given $X_0 = A_0 \sim A^{-1}$, find X_1 better than X_0 The method is based on Newton's method for solving f(x) = 0 $=> x_{n+1} = x_n - f(x_n)/f'(x_n)$ Applying the formula to f(x) = a - 1/x (scalar) to get $x_{n+1} = x_n - [a - 1/x_n] / [1/x_n^2] = x_n + x_n (1 - ax_n) = x_n + (1 - x_n a) x_n$ So, $x_{n+1} = x_n + e_n x_n$; e_n = error at iteration *n*. Extending to matrices $X_{n+1} = X_n + (I - X_n A) X_n = E_n X_n$; $X_0 = \text{intial estimate}$ $E_{n+1} = I - X_{n+1}A = I - X_nA - X_nA + (X_nA)^2 = (I - X_nA)^2$ $E_{n+1} = I - X_{n+1}A; \quad E_n = I - X_nA$ $\Rightarrow E_{n+1} = E_n^2$ \Rightarrow Rapid convergence provided $||I - X_0A|| < 1$. Quadratic convergence Typically requires $2n^3$ MADDS / iteration (expensive) The procedure is valid for A^{\dagger} as well

Summary

- **Givens Transformations**
 - local effect
 - parallelization
- Weighted Least Squares Problem and its Solutions via Householder Transformation
- Computation of Pseudo (Generalized) Inverse
 - Gram-Schmidt
 - Householder
 - SVD